

Annals of Medicine



Saylor & Francis

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/iann20

Gastrointestinal microbiome and gluten in celiac disease

Xingxing Wu, Lin Qian, Kexin Liu, Jing Wu & Zhaowei Shan

To cite this article: Xingxing Wu, Lin Qian, Kexin Liu, Jing Wu & Zhaowei Shan (2021) Gastrointestinal microbiome and gluten in celiac disease, Annals of Medicine, 53:1, 1797-1805, DOI: 10.1080/07853890.2021.1990392

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2021.1990392

<u>a</u>	© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
	Published online: 14 Oct 2021.
	Submit your article to this journal $\ensuremath{\ \ \ }$
lili	Article views: 6941
Q	View related articles ☑
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗹
4	Citing articles: 8 View citing articles 🗹



REVIEW ARTICLE



Gastrointestinal microbiome and gluten in celiac disease

Xingxing Wu^a, Lin Oian^a, Kexin Liu^a, Jing Wu^b and Zhaowei Shan^a

^aAffiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China; ^bInstitute of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Naniing University, Drum Tower Clinical Medicine College of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China

ABSTRACT

Coeliac disease (CD), also known as gluten sensitive enteropathy, is an autoimmune intestinal disease induced by gluten in genetically susceptible individuals. Gluten is a common ingredient in daily diet and is one of the main environmental factors to induce coeliac disease. Adhering to gluten free diet (GFD) is an effective method for treating CD. Microbiota plays an extremely important role in maintaining human health, and diet is the main factor to regulate the composition and function of gut microbiota. Recent studies have shown that gluten metabolism is closely related to gastrointestinal tract (GIT) microbiota. With the increasing prevalence of coeliac disease, there is a need for alternative treatments to GFD. In this review, biological medication of gluten, relationship between gluten and gut microflora, effect of GFD on GIT microflora, and effect of probiotics on CD were reviewed. By analysing the research progress on relationship between gluten and gastrointestinal microbiome in coeliac disease, this review tried to explore the prospective and potential mechanism of microecological agents in treating coeliac disease.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 19 May 2021 Revised 28 June 2021 Accepted 30 September 2021

KEYWORDS

Gluten; gastrointestinal microbiome; coeliac disease; gluten free diet; probiotics

1. Introduction

Coeliac disease is an autoimmune disorder that occurs in genetically predisposed individuals, including adults and children, who develop an immune reaction to gluten. Even though this disease primarily affects the small intestine, its clinical manifestations are broad, with both intestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms. There are multi-factors might affect this disease, such as environmental, genetic factors and immune imbalance [1]. The main clinical presentations include intessymptoms, such as diarrhoea, abdominal distension, abdominal pain; and extra-intestinal symptoms, such as anaemia, dermatitis herpetiformis, osteopenia and peripheral neuropathy. CD patients carry specific susceptibility genes (HLA-DQ2, HLA-DQ8), but their existence is not enough to cause the occurrence of CD, which requires the participation of environmental factors-gluten [2]. As the consumption of glutencontaining food increases, the incidence of related autoimmune diseases has gradually increased (such as CD, wheat allergic diseases) [3]. In genetically susceptible individuals, gluten is one of the necessary conditions for inducing CD. Gluten is a kind of protein mainly existing in wheat, barley and rye, accounting for 80%-85% of the total protein in wheat [4]. It is a protein mixture composed of hundreds of monomers, oligomers and polymers, mainly including gliadin and glutenin. Among them, the main antigen protein causing CD is gliadin which is rich in glutamine and proline, and cannot be digested by human digestive enzymes and brush border peptidase [5-6]. Prolinerich peptides are protected from proteolysis by gastric, pancreatic and intestinal brush border membrane enzymes, so they have an opportunity to build up to high concentrations in the small intestine. However, oral bacteria that secrete gliadin (gluten) degrading enzymes had been identified. Their most active gliadin-cleaving enzymes had also been identified and purified [7-8]. Apart from interesting biological findings, these bacteria and enzymes may lead to novel and effective strategies to detoxify immunogenic gluten peptides prior to their reaching the proximal small intestine. Part of the gluten is hydrolysed by oral microbial proteases in the oral cavity, thereby reducing its immunotoxicity. However, most gluten is hydrolysed by pepsin into high molecular weight peptides

CONTACT Jing Wu 🔯 wujing@njucm.edu.cn 🔁 Institute of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Nanjing University, Drum Tower Clinical Medicine College of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, 321 Zhongshan Road, Nanjing 210008, China; Zhaowei Shan 🔯 jsszyyszw@163.com 🖻 Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, 155 Han zhong Road, Nanjing 210029, China This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article.

in the stomach. The peptides that enter the small intestine from the stomach are not easily degraded due to their rich proline. They stay in the intestine for a long time and increase the probability of triggering immune response. A large number of immunogenic polymer peptides gathered in the intestinal lumen, mainly including immunodominant peptides (such as P57-P89 peptide and 33 peptide in α -gliadin) and non-immune dominant peptides (such as P31~P43), triggered the adaptive immune response mediated by CD4+Th1 cells and the innate immune response mediated by intraepithelial lymphocytes respectively, and leaded to infiltration of intestinal epithelial inflammatory cells, villus atrophy and crypt hyperplasia [9]. Thus, it will lead to the destruction of intestinal epithelial cells and the increase of intestinal permeability, resulting in diarrhoea, abdominal distention, abdominal pain, emaciation, dermatitis herpetiformis and other clinical symptoms. Although the gluten-free diet can significantly improve the clinical symptoms of patients with CD, gluten-free diet is expensive and has very few products. In order to have a good quality of life, patients have to adhere to the gluten-free diet. It not only brings a financial burden to society and patients themselves, but also brings social and psychological impact to patients [10]. In addition to gluten, the microbiota dysbiosis of the digestive tract flora may be another environmental factor that triggers CD. Studies have confirmed that patients with CD have disorders of the digestive tract flora. The abundance diversity of beneficial commensals decreased, while, pathobionts have increased. Coeliac disease is associated with intestinal dysbiosis characterized by increases in pathobionts virulence features [11–12]. Research also shown that, diet has a great influence on the composition and function of intestinal flora, and gluten can affect the stability of intestinal flora. Therefore, this review will mainly focus on the relationship between gluten and oral flora, intestinal flora in coeliac disease. It will also pay special attention to analyse perspectives and trends of probiotics in coeliac disease treatment.

2. Gluten and oral flora

2.1. Oral flora

So far, it has been found that there are more than 1,000 kinds of bacteria in the oral cavity inhabiting saliva, teeth, gingiva and other different parts. There are about 10¹¹ bacteria per gram of dental plaque and 10⁸ bacteria per millilitre of saliva, so the oral cavity becomes the second place where microorganisms are

densely colonized in digestive tract [13-14]. The latest research shows that the oral symbiotic flora can increase the immune function of the oral mucosa to prevent the invasion of pathogenic microorganisms. For example, oral microbiota genera Veillonella and Streptococcus promote the production of anti-microbial peptides and the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, increasing the epithelial barrier function and thickness characteristic of oral mucosa [15]. However, other studies have found that some pathogenic bacteria in oral microflora are not only related to oral diseases such as dental caries, periodontitis, and oral ulcers [16], but also related to infective endocarditis [17] and coronary atherosclerosis [18], pneumonia [19], obesity [20], intestinal diseases, etc. The flora in the oral cavity of healthy humans can be transported in large quantities to the distal end of the digestive tract, yet the translocation of oral species to the intestine is considered a rare aberrant event, and a hallmark of disease [21]. Certain oral microorganisms may induce intestinal diseases in genetically susceptible individuals. For example, Atarashi et al. found that oral Klebsiella colonises the colon and induces Th1 cell differentiation to elicit a severe intestinal mucosal inflammation [22].

2.2. Oral flora reduces the immunogenicity of gliadin

At present time, most studies are confined largely to explore the relationship between microflora and intestinal diseases. However, the oral cavity is the first digestive organ that comes into contact with food and is correlated to digestive system diseases directly. Therefore, on the basis of duodenal flora and colonic flora studies, the salivary flora should be further analysed to improve description of the digestive tract flora characteristics [23]. Patients with CD have oral flora dysbiosis. There are microbial flora in the oral cavity, which are related to the metabolism of gluten in CD (Table 1). Although the food containing gluten stays in the oral cavity for a short time, the number and types of flora in the saliva are significantly greater than those colonized in the stomach and duodenum. The effect of oral flora on digesting gluten should not be ignored. Researchers have found that the initial metabolism of gliadin in the oral cavity may be related to the genus of Rothia, Actinomyces, Neisseria, and Streptococcus that colonized the oral cavity [24]. Compared with healthy people, the saliva of patients with CD is rich in bacteria that could degrade gluten, and the degradation rate of gluten is higher. The

Table 1. The relationship between Oral flora and gluten in CD.

	Substrate types	Degradability	Outcome
Salivary flora [23]	Gluten	The degradation rate of gluten is higher than healthy people	unspecified
Rothia, Actinomyces, Neisseria, and Streptococcus [24]	Gliadin	unspecified	unspecified
Dental plaque bacteria [23,25]	lmmunogenic 33-mer α-gliadin peptide	Complete degradation	Reduce immunogenicity
Oral microbial enzymes [23]	Gluten	Partial degradation	Induce immunogenicity

significant increase of Lactobacillus species may be one of the reasons [23]. The protease-resistant highly immunogenic 33-mer α-gliadin peptide could be completely degraded by dental plaque bacteria to reduce immunogenicity [23,25]. However, there were studies on the contrary standpoint, reported that oral microbial enzymes degrade part of gluten, which in turn increases immunogenic small molecule peptides epitopes and further induces intestinal inflammation [23].

3. Gluten and intestinal flora

3.1. Intestinal flora

As we mentioned before, the oral cavity is the second place where microorganisms are densely colonized in digestive tract. However, the gut is the most densely colonized place of microflora in digestive tract. A refined estimate showed that microflora in one human body were in a ratio of 1.3:1 to human cells. It was estimated that more than 1,000 kinds of microorganisms live in the gut, the gut microbiome of healthy mainly includes Firmicutes. people Bacteroides. Proteobacteria, Actinomycetes. And some researchers estimated that there were thousands of bacterial species in the gastrointestinal tract [26-27]. According to the interaction with the host, the intestinal flora is divided into three categories: probiotics (such as Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, etc.), pathobionts (such as Clostridium, Enterococcus faecalis, etc.) and opportunistic pathogens. The intestinal flora of healthy people can protect and maintain the intestinal barrier function, promote the metabolism and absorption of nutrients, regulate immunity, anti-aging, prevent cancer and suppress cancer, etc [28]. There is a mutually symbiotic relationship between the flora and the host. The host provides nutrients and the microenvironment for the flora. The flora helps to maintain human intestinal homeostasis by participating in a series of physiological functions of the host. A large number of studies have shown that once the balance between intestinal microflora and the human body is broken, it will lead to multiple systemic diseases, such as obesity,

diabetes, atherosclerosis, irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, and coeliac disease through bile acid metabolism, brain-gut axis, intestinal barrier, and immune system and so on [29].

3.2. Gliadin directly induces intestinal flora dysbiosis

For the coeliac disease patients, the balance between intestinal microflora and the human body could be broken by the gliadin. From the mouth and stomach, large quantity of undegraded gliadin is being pushed into the small intestine and large intestine, provides abundant substrates for different bacteria in the intestinal cavity, thereby promotes the reproduction of gliadindegrading bacteria and breaks the steady state of intestinal flora [30-31]. At present time, the composition and structure of the small intestinal flora are mainly evaluated by detecting the abundance and diversity of the duodenal flora. D'Argenio et al. tested the duodenal mucosal flora of patients with active CD and found that the abundance of Proteobacteria increased, while the abundance of Firmicutes and Actinobacteria decreased. Compared with GFD patients and healthy individuals, members of the *Neisseria* (Betaproteobacteria class) were significantly more abundant in active CD patients. Neisseria flavescens was the most abundant Neisseria species in active CD duodenum [32]. Sanchez E et al. found that compared with children with GFD and healthy children, the duodenalmucosal bacteria of children with active CD (normal gluten-containing food diet) has increased abundance of Proteobacteria and decreased abundance of Firmicutes at the phylum level; the abundance of Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcaceae increased, and Streptococcaceae decreased at family level [33]. In the CD animal experiment, it was also found that the intestinal flora was imbalanced. For example, comparing the gluten-sensitive (GS) macaques with healthy macaques, it was found that the alpha diversity (Shannon diversity index) and abundance of the faecal flora of the GS macaques were reduced, and it was found that, two of the top eight families,

Streptococcaceae and *Lactobacillaceae*, were enriched in GS macaques [34].

3.3. Intestinal flora promotes the hydrolysis of gliadin

The human body lacks proteases, which are able to completely digest gluten. The role of intestinal flora in the process of such protein metabolism cannot be ignored. The undegraded gliadin is transported from the small intestine to the large intestine. Once it enters the large intestine, it is in close contact with a large number of microorganisms in the gut. Due to the diversity of bacterial genes in large intestine and their different biochemical pathways from the human body, it makes certain intestinal microorganisms have the ability to metabolize gliadin [35]. Researchers have found that there are flora related to the metabolism of gliadin in the human intestine (such as the genera Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Clostridium, Bifidobacterium) [36]. These microorganisms not only exist in the large intestine, but also in the small intestine to metabolize gluten. Camino et al. showed that, compared with the healthy group, the duodenal mucosal flora of CD mice on a gluten-containing diet had a higher proteolytic activity against gluten ("glutenasic" activity), and it is related to the abundance of Proteobacteria (including Pseudomonas) [37]. Herrán et al. studied the small intestinal flora that decomposes gliadin in healthy people and patients with CD, and isolated 114 bacterial strains belonging to 32 different species from the duodenal mucosa, of which, 85 strains were able to grow in a medium containing gluten as the sole nitrogen source. 31 strains showed extracellular proteolytic activity against gluten protein and 27 strains showed peptidolytic activity towards the 33-mer peptide, an immunogenic peptide for coeliac disease patients [38].

3.4. Gliadin combined with intestinal flora induces intestinal inflammation

Obviously, researchers cannot determine that intestinal flora dysbiosis is the result of coeliac disease or an environmental factor for CD, or both. Conventionally, gliadin was considered to activate innate immunity and adaptive immunity, and activate intestinal inflammation by inducing the production of cytokines and chemokines. In particular, the gliadin is deamidated by tissue transglutaminase in the lamina propria of the small intestine, and binds to HLA class II DQ2/8 molecules of antigen-presenting cells, activates T cells,

macrophages and dendritic cells, and secretes inflammatory cytokines. It follows the activation of the adaptive immune response through the production of antiendomysium, antigliadin, and anti-transglutaminase antibodies by B cells that increase intestinal permeability [39]. In addition to gliadin, intestinal microflora also play an indispensable role in inducing inflammation in the intestinal mucosa of patients with CD. As we all know, immune factors are one of the causes for CD, and adaptive immunity plays an important role in the pathogenesis of CD. Studies have found that the intestinal flora is closely related to adaptive immunity, and intestinal microflora have important regulatory effects on the two embranchments of host adaptive immunity, B cells and T cells. The intestinal flora can promote the production of IgA in the intestine by regulating the B cells response; it can also maintain the balance between intestinal inflammation and immune tolerance by inducing the differentiation of intestinal Th17 and Treg cells [40].

Gliadin evokes intestinal barrier dysfunction, which leads to the excessive growth and translocation of intestinal pathogenic bacteria, resulting in intestinal microecological imbalance; The microecological imbalance activates the immune inflammatory response by regulating the B cell and T cell. Inflammatory factors can further increase the permeability of the intestinal mucosa by destroying the intestinal epithelial cells and aggravate coeliac disease [41]. The CD intestinal mucosal immune response may directly destroy the biological barrier, thereby affecting the microbial homeostasis. The imbalance of the flora, or dysbiosis acts as a pathogenic factor to be counteractive at CD, thus forming a vicious circle and continuing inflammation.

In CD, intestinal flora and gluten have a complex relationship (Figure 1). There are two essential different situations, one is the imbalance of intestinal microecology caused by coeliac disease, the other is abnormal intestinal flora, which is a co-factor of gliadin in inducing coeliac disease. In CD patients, the abundance of *Firmicutes* and Actinobacteria decreased, while the abundance of *Proteobacteria* increased. The intestinal microflora might be sometimes the cause and sometimes the result, which needs analysis case by case. In the future, reasonable research methods can be designed to confirm it. With the development of research, the role of *lactic acid bacteria* can be further defined.

4. Gluten-free diet and digestive tract flora

CD patients have disorders of the digestive tract flora. However, has the digestive tract flora of CD patients

Figure 1. The relationship between Intestinal flora and Gluten in CD.

improved significantly after GFD treatment? Studies have found that the digestive tract flora of CD patients who are treated with GFD is still in an imbalanced state. A study confirmed that, compared with the same number of healthy children, Bacteroidetes in saliva of children with GFD (n = 13) increased, **Actinobacteria** and Streptococcus thermophilus decreased [42]. Di Cagno et al. found that the duodenal mucosal flora of CD patients had not fully recovered after two years of GFD treatment. Although the abundance of the pathogenic bacteria declined, the abundance of the beneficial bacteria was still low [39,43]. Research by De Palma et al. showed that after adults persisted in GFD, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, and Bifidobacterium longum counts decreased, while the Enterobacteriaceae and Escherichia coli increased [44]. A similar study in CD children outlined differences in the microbiota composition before and after GFD treatment; mean Dice similarity index between coeliac individuals before and after GFD treatment was 63.9% ± 15.8%. There's a loss of 36.1% of inter-individual similarity. This study also found that, Bacteroides vulgatus and Escherichia coli were detected more often in CD patients than in controls (Functional Dyspepsia), and a significant higher biodiversity in CD paediatric patients' duodenal mucosa was shown [45]. GFD is an important factor affecting the composition of the intestinal flora. GFD not only fails to fully restore the digestive tract flora of CD patients, but also affects the homeostasis of the flora in healthy people (Table 2). A GFD diet clearly influences the abundance of several species, in particular those involved specifically in carbohydrate and starch metabolism such as family Veillonellaceae (class Clostridia) [46]. Diet is an important factor affecting the abundance, diversity and function of the flora. Under physiological conditions, dietary patterns and nutritional status have certain effects on the intestinal flora [47-48]. Among different dietary components, fibre has a positive effect on gut microflora and their related metabolites. Compared with standard diet, GFD contains less fibre [49-51]. Therefore, it can be preliminarily inferred that, GFD, which contains a small amount of fibre, is a factor leading to the dysbiosis of intestinal flora.

5. The effect of probiotics on CD

There were not much studies about probiotics in affecting CD (Table 3). Olivares et al. found that B. longum CECT 7347 could help improve the health status of CD patients who tend to show alterations in gut microbiota composition and a biased immune response even on a GFD [52]. A strict diet without

Table 2. The influence of GFD on gastrointestinal flora.

	CD with GFD	Healthy people with GFD
Francavilla et al. [42]	Decreased: Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, and Bifidobacterium longum Increased: Actinobacteria and Streptococcus thermophilus	_
Di Cagno et al. [43] De Palma et al. [44]	Decreased: pathogenic bacteria declined –	— Decreased: <i>Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus,</i> and <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> Increased: <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> and <i>Escherichia coli</i>
Schippa et al. [45]	Increased: Bacteroides vulgatus and Escherichia coli; biodiversity of flora	-
Bonder et al. [46]	, -	Decreased: Veillonellaceae

Table 3. The effect of probiotics on CD with GFD.

	Positive result	Negative result
GFD combined B. longum CECT 7347 [52]	Improve the health status of CD patients	_
GFD combined probiotics (containing two Bifidobacterium strains) [53]	Restore intestinal flora basically	-
GFD combined probiotics [55]	Increase of <i>Bifidobacteria</i> ; improve severity of IBS—type symptoms of CD patients	-
GFD combined probiotics [56]	-	No effect on intestinal flora

gluten is the only effective way to treat CD for present time. Although long-term GFD can improve the symptoms of CD patients, there are still existing intestinal flora dysbiosis. At present, there are few studies on using probiotics as an intervention for CD patients on the basis of GFD. However, these limited research results still show that probiotics combined with GFD can restore the intestinal flora of CD patients. Studies have confirmed that the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroides and the abundance of Actinobacteria decrease in children with CD when compared with healthy controls. In children with GFD, oral probiotics containing two Bifidobacterium strains (B632 and BR03) were taken for 3 months. Compared with children with GFD who were not supplemented with probiotics, ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroides and the abundance of Actinobacteria increased more than before. And it is basically similar to the faecal flora composition of healthy children [53]. Probiotic administration has clearly revealed a negative relationship between Firmicutes and pro-inflammatory TNF-a. Moreover, probiotic effect has exposed some new phyla, particularly Synergistetes, which negatively correlated to acetic acid and total SCFAs, suggesting a potential role in microbiome restoration [54]. A 6week probiotic treatment is effective in improving the severity of IBS-type symptoms in CD patients on strict GFD, and is associated with a modification of gut microbiota, characterized by an increase Bifidobacteria [55].

There also was study showed that, the probiotic formula when taken orally over the 12-week period did not

significantly alter the microbiota of CD patients who were strictly adhere to GFD. The probiotic bacteria contained 450 billion viable lyophilized bacteria *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Bifidobacterium breve*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus paracasei*, and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus*. [56].

6. Summary and outlook

In summary, coeliac disease, gluten, and digestive tract microflora have complex interactions (Table 4). CD patients not only have intestinal flora dysbiosis, but also accompanied by oral microbial dysbiosis. The current research has not yet determined the exact microbial model of microflora and CD, and the causal relationship between the imbalance of the digestive tract flora and CD is still inconclusive. Gliadin and digestive tract flora are the environmental factors that induce CD, and there is a close relationship between the two factors. In the process of CD intestinal mucosal immune response, gliadin and flora play a synergistic effect, so that the intestinal immune response is continuously activated, causing clinical symptoms of CD. In addition, gluten-containing food provides abundant material energy for the digestive tract flora, which further leads to the imbalance of the flora. Some specific bacteria or some bacterial metabolites in the digestive tract can degrade gliadin even though mammals lack proteases to digest gliadin. On one hand, there is a causal relationship between gliadin and the imbalance of the flora. On the other hand,

Table 4. Summary of changes in the digestive tract flora of patients with coeliac disease and healthy individuals with GFD.

		Increase			Decrease	
Site	A- CD	T-CD	H-GFD	Active CD,	T-CD	H-GFD
Oral	Lactobacillus species [23] (A-CD VS HC)Bacteroidetes [42] (T-CD	(C) <i>Bacteroidetes</i> [42] (T-CD¹ VS HC)	1	1	Actinobacteria, Streptoco-ccus thermophilus [42] (T-CD	- lius
Small intestine (Duodenal biop	all intestine Proteobacteria [32,33], (Duodenal biopsy) Neisseria genus [32], Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus [33] CD VS T-CD ', HC) Bacteroides vulgatus and Escherichia coli [45] (A-CD VS FD)	1	1	Firmicutes [32,33], Actinomycetes [32] Streptococcaccae [33] (A-CD VS T-CD ¹ , HC)		1
Large intestine (faecal)	ı	the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroides, Enterobacteriaceae the ratio of Firmic Actinomycetes [53] (T-CD 3 VS T-CD 2), and Escherichia coli [44] to Bacteroides, Bifidobactea [55] (T-CD 3 VS T-CD 2) (H-GFD VS HC) Actinomycetes I (A-CD VS HC)	Enterobacteriaceae and Escherichia coli [4 (H-GFD VS HC)	the ratio of Firmicutes 4] to Bacteroides, Actinomycetes [53] (A-CD VS HC)	ı	Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium longum [44] (H-GFD VS HC)

controls; HC: Healthy GFD; placebo); H-GFD: Healthy individuals with combined GFD with 0 ÷ combined probiotics; GFD with 2:CD GFD; CD with Ξ: Disease A-CD: Active CD; T-CD: Treated Coeliac Functional Dyspepsia.

ë.

there is a synergistic relationship in the process of inducing CD intestinal immune response. In the future, we should conduct intensive research to clarify the common role of intestinal microecology and gluten in the pathogenesis of CD. Nevertheless, alterations of microbiota in CD subjects may not be considered exclusively as a consequence of the disease itself, but rather as a part of a complex relationship between many causative factors, including those of diet and psychological nature.

Persistence of symptoms in patients with CD who adhere to a GFD is common. Probiotics (especially Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus related to gliadin metabolism) are expected to become an adjuvant preparation for CD patients and minimize the related adverse reactions caused by strict GFD. Probiotics may help to control symptoms in patients with CD adhering to a GFD, however, the data are limited and this could not be an absolute prediction. After all, previous research had shown that Lactobacillaceae were enriched in gluten sensitive animal models, so it cannot be ruled out the possibility that lactobacilli could not act as probiotics at some time. Future research studies involving high-quality clinical trials are needed to improve the quality of the evidence and to establish the optimal species, timing, and dosage of probiotics that may benefit patients with CD [57].

Author contributions

Conceptualisation, WU Xing xing, QIAN Lin and LIU Kexin, writing- original draft preparation, WU Jing and SHAN Zhao wei, editing review. All authors have read agreed the published and version the manuscript.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This review was funded by National Nature Science Foundation of China. No.81873160.

References

- Lebwohl B, Sanders DS, Green PHR. Coeliac disease. Lancet. 2018;391(10115):70-81.
- Bai JC, Ciacci C. World gastroenterology organisation global guidelines: Celiac Disease February 2017. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2017;51(9):755-768.

- [3] Lerner A, Shoenfeld Y, Matthias T. Adverse effects of gluten ingestion and advantages of gluten with-drawal in nonceliac autoimmune disease. Nutr Rev. 2017;75(12):1046–1058.
- [4] Cong-Yang YAN, Li ZHOU. Research progresses on wheat gluten-related diseases. J Food SafQual. 2019;7: 1776–1781.
- [5] Stepniak D, Koning F. Celiac disease-sandwiched between innate and adaptive immunity. Hum Immunol. 2006;67(6):460–468.
- [6] Gianfrani C, Auricchio S, Troncone R. Adaptive and innate immune responses in celiac disease. Immunol Lett. 2005;99(2):141–145.
- [7] Helmerhorst EJ, Zamakhchari M, Schuppan D, et al. Discovery of a novel and rich source of glutendegrading microbial enzymes in the oral cavity. PLoS One. 2010;5(10):e13264– 9.
- [8] Shan L, Qiao SW, Arentz-Hansen H, et al. Identification and analysis of multivalent proteolytically resistant peptides from gluten: implications for celiac sprue. J Proteome Res. 2005;4(5):1732–1741.
- [9] Juan-Li YUAN, Xu J, Shuai HU, et al. Recent advances in celiac disease. J Food SafQual. 2015;11:4510–4515.
- [10] Allen B, Orfila C. The availability and nutritional adequacy of gluten-free bread and pasta. Nutrients. 2018;10(10):1370.
- [11] Cenit MC, Olivares M, Codoñer-Franch P, et al. Intestinal microbiota and celiac disease: cause, consequence or Co-Evolution? Nutrients. 2015;7(8): 6900–6923.
- [12] Sanz Y. Microbiome and gluten. Ann Nutr Metab. 2015;67(Suppl. 2):27–41.
- [13] Benn A, Heng N, Broadbent JM, et al. Studying the human oral microbiome: challenges and the evolution of solutions. Aust Dent J. 2018;63(1):14–24.
- [14] Maukonen J, Mättö J, Suihko M-L, et al. Intra-individual diversity and similarity of salivary and faecal microbiota. J Med Microbiol. 2008;57(Pt 12): 1560–1568.
- [15] Shang L, Deng D, Buskermolen JK, et al. Multi-species oral biofilm promotes reconstructed human gingiva epithelial barrier function. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):16061.
- [16] Ling Z, Kong J, Jia P, et al. Analysis of oral microbiota in children with dental caries by PCR-DGGE and barcoded pyrosequencing. Microb Ecol. 2010;60(3): 677–690.
- [17] Lockhart PB, Durack DT. Oral microflora as a cause of endocarditis and other distant site infections. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 1999;13(4):833–850.
- [18] Beck JD, Eke P, Heiss G, et al. Periodontal disease and coronary heart disease: a reappraisal of the exposure. Circulation. 2005;112(1):19–24.
- [19] Brown JS. Oral biofilms, periodontitis and pulmonary infections. Oral Dis. 2007;13(6):508–512.
- [20] Piombino P, Genovese A, Esposito S, et al. Saliva from obese individuals suppresses the release of aroma compounds from wine. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e85611.
- [21] Schmidt TS, Hayward MR, Coelho LP, et al. Extensive transmission of microbes along the gastrointestinal tract. Elife. 2019;8:42693.
- [22] Atarashi K, Suda W, Luo C, et al. Ectopic colonization of oral bacteria in the intestine drives TH1 cell

- induction and inflammation. Science. 2017;358(6361): 359–365.
- [23] Tian N, Faller L, Leffler DA, et al. Salivary gluten degradation and oral microbial profiles in healthy individuals and celiac disease patients. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017;83(6):03330–03316.
- [24] Fernandez-Feo M, Wei G, Blumenkranz G, et al. The cultivable human oral gluten degrading microbiome and its potential implications in coeliac disease and gluten sensitivity. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19: 386–394.
- [25] Di Cagno R, De Angelis M, Lavermicocca P, et al. Function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature. 2012;486(7402):207–214.
- [26] Gilbert JA, Blaser MJ, Caporaso JG, et al. Current understanding of the human microbiome. Nat Med. 2018;24(4):392–400. 400.
- [27] Tap J, Mondot S, Levenez F, et al. Towards the human intestinal microbiota phylogenetic core. Environ Microbiol. 2009;11(10):2574–2584.
- [28] Sommer F, Bäckhed F. The gut microbiota-masters of host development and physiology. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013;11(4):227–238.
- [29] Zhang L, Xian-Peng Z, Hai-Sheng X, et al. Research progress in mechanism of intestinal microorganisms in human diseases. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica. 2016;6: 843–852.
- [30] Davila AM, Blachier F, Gotteland M, et al. Re-print "intestinal luminal nitrogen metabolism: role of the gut microbiota and consequences for the host". Pharmacol Res. 2013;69(1):114–126.
- [31] Bernardo D, Garrote JA, Nadal I, et al. Is it true that coeliacs do not digest gliadin? Degradation pattern of gliadin in coeliac disease small intestinal mucosa. Gut. 2009;58(6):886–887.
- [32] D'Argenio V, Casaburi G, Precone V, et al. Metagenomics reveals dysbiosis and a potentially pathogenic N. flavescens Strain in Duodenum of Adult Celiac Patients. Am J Gastroenterol. 2016;111(6): 879–890.
- [33] Sanchez E, Donat E, Ribes-Koninckx C, et al. Duodenal-mucosal bacteria associated with celiac disease in children. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79(18): 5472–5479.
- [34] Mohan M, Chow CT, Ryan CN, et al. Dietary gluteninduced gut dysbiosis is accompanied by selective upregulation of microRNAs with intestinal tight junction and bacteria-binding motifs in rhesus macaque model of celiac disease. Nutrients. 2016;8(11):684.
- [35] Macfarlane GT, Allison C, Gibson SAW, et al. Contribution of the microflora to proteolysis in the human large intestine. J Appl Bacteriol. 1988;64(1): 37–46.
- [36] Caminero A, Herran AR, Nistal E, et al. Diversity of the cultivable human gut microbiome involved in gluten metabolism: isolation of microorganisms with potential interest for coeliac disease. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2014;88(2):309–319.
- [37] Caminero A, McCarville JL, Galipeau HJ, et al. Duodenal bacterial proteolytic activity determines sensitivity to dietary antigen through protease-activated receptor-2. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1198.



- [38] Herrán AR, Pérez-Andrés J, Caminero A, et al. Glutendegrading bacteria are present in the human small intestine of healthy volunteers and celiac patients. Res Microbiol. 2017;168(7):673-684.
- [39] Reddel S, Putignani L, Del Chierico F. The impact of Low-FODMAPs, gluten-free, and ketogenic diets on gut microbiota modulation. in pathological conditions. Nutrients. 2019;11(2):373.
- [40] Hao-Nan YU, Zhi-Hua LIU. Recent progress in intestinal microbiota and mucosal immunity. Chin J Immun. 2019;16:1921-1930.
- [41] Yue ZHOU, Shan DU, Bin CHEN. The theory of an intestinal microecological imbalance. J Pathogen Biol. 2019:17(7):867-870.
- Francavilla R, Ercolini D, Piccolo M, et al. Salivary [42] microbiota and metabolome associated with celiac disease. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80(11):1-27.
- [43] Di Cagno R, Angelis M, Pasquale I, et al. Duodenal and faecal microbiota of celiac children: molecular, phenotype and metabolome characterization. BMC Microbiol. 2011;11:219.
- De Palma G, Nadal I, Collado MC, et al. Effects of a [44] gluten-free diet on gut microbiota and immune function in healthy adult human subjects. Br J Nutr. 2009; 102(8):1154-1160.
- [45] Schippa S, lebba V, Barbato M, et al. A distinctive 'microbial signature' in celiac pediatric patients. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10(1):175.
- [46] Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Cai X, et al. The influence of a short-term gluten-free diet on the human gut microbiome. Genome Med. 2016;8(1):45.
- [47] Albenberg LG, Wu GD. Diet and the intestinal microbiome: associations, functions, and implications for health and disease. Gastroenterology. 2014;146(6): 1564-1572.
- [48] Sheflin AM, Melby CL, Carbonero F, et al. Linking dietary patterns with gut microbial composition and function. Gut Microbes. 2017;8(2):113-129.
- [49] De Angelis M, Montemurno E, Vannini L, et al. Effect of whole-grain barley on the Human Fecal Microbiota

- and Metabolome. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015;81(22): 7945-7956.
- [50] Sonnenburg ED, Smits SA, Tikhono M, et al. Dietinduced extinctions in the gut microbiota compound over generations. Nature. 2016;529(7585):212-215.
- [51] Vitaglione P, Mennella I, Ferracane R, et al. Wholegrain wheat consumption reduces inflammation in a randomized controlled trial on overweight and obese subjects with unhealthy dietary and lifestyle behaviors: role of polyphenols bound to cereal dietary fiber. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;101(2):251-261.
- Olivares M, Castillejo G, Varea V, et al. Double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled intervention trial to evaluate the effects of Bifidobacterium longum CECT 7347 in children with newly diagnosed coeliac disease. Br J Nutr. 2014;112(1):30-40.
- [53] Quagliariello A, Aloisio I, Bozzi Cionci N, et al. Effect of Bifidobacterium breve on the intestinal microbiota of coeliac children on a gluten free diet: a pilot study. Nutrients. 2016;8(10):660.
- Maša P, Martina K, Diana DG, et al. Clinical interven-[54] tion using bifidobacterium strains in celiac disease children reveals novel microbial modulators of TNF- α and short-chain fatty acids. Clinical Nutrition. 2019; 38(3):931.
- [55] Francavilla R, Piccolo M, Francavilla A, et al. Clinical and microbiological effect of a multispecies probiotic supplementation in celiac patients with persistent IBS-type symptoms: a randomized, Double-Blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2019;53(3):e117-e25.
- Seiler C, Kiflen M, Stefanolo J, et al. Probiotics for [56] celiac disease: a systematic review and Meta-Analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Gastroenterol. 2020;115(10):1584-1595.
- [57] Marasco G, Cirota G, Rossini B, et al. Probiotics, prebiotics and other dietary supplements for gut microbiota modulation in celiac disease patients. Nutrients. 2020;12(9):2674.